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Presentation Objectives

- Discuss disapproval issues related to this concept proposal submission Dec. 2015
- Explore future strategies for concept proposal submissions
Pancreatic/Lung Cancer Diagnosis

Dignity Therapy/Life Plan

1st Session: During chemo
2nd Session: 2-3 weeks later during chemo
Final Session: Discuss legacy + life plan documents

Attention Control: Client-Centered Care
Session 1 During Chemo

True Control: Standard Care

Post-intervention Measures During final session
Post-intervention Measures 3 months later
Issues identified during review

- **Scientific/Design Issues**
  - Inadequate literature synthesis – ID strengths/weaknesses of prior research
  - Inadequate rationale for moving intervention from hospice setting to active treatment setting
  - Contamination from those already receiving palliative care
  - Cross contamination across study arms
  - Overlapping questionnaires
Issues - Feasibility

- Concerns about time commitment for intervention and study coordination
  - “The investigators’ belief that the cancer control credit will cover the training and intervention is incorrect. Cancer control credit supports data management; in this case, the collection of patient reported outcome data and transferring that data to the Alliance data management center.”
  - “Additional resources would be needed to cover the costs of training and the interventionists’ time.”
Issues - Feasibility

- The definition of the primary endpoint criterion for recruitment feasibility is faulty
  - % vs. numbers recruited/year
- Given “negative results of previous studies”….  
  - Not true – actually mixed results
- In summary, the Committee believes that dignity therapy has the potential for improving the quality of life in patients experiencing high distress”
Next steps

- Submitting R21 to NINR
- More exploratory aims – logical next step
Conclusion

- Questions
- Discussion