Why Do Audits?

- Investigators of clinical trials have an obligation to take appropriate steps
  - To protect human subjects who participate in research studies
  - To protect the integrity of the science of cancer studies
Why Do Audits?

- The integrity of a data set is a function of the entire process
  - Data collection
  - Data analysis
- Detailed plans and systems are needed to assure
  - Protocol adherence
  - Uniform collection of data
Why Do Audits?

- Audit is a Quality Improvement Process
- Detect honest errors
  - Systemic – repeated errors
    - Misunderstanding of what is to be entered
    - Misunderstanding of how the study is to be conducted
  - Random – data entry errors
    - Data from wrong dates
    - Transposition of numbers
    - Missing data
    - Data just does not make sense
Why Do Audits?

- **Review Data Submission**
  - Is the Time Table for data submission being followed as specified in the protocol
    - With each cycle?
    - Within specified weeks / months of study entry, treatment or completion of all study therapy?
  - Are the specified data being submitted?
    - Operative reports, path reports, flow sheets, forms, etc.
  - Are the data just not submitted?
  - Has the site been timely in response to queries?
Why Do Audits?

- Detect falsification
  - Hopefully rare event, however....
  - “[High dose chemotherapy]...results in a significant proportion of CRs and increased survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer”
Why Do Audits?

  - “the multiple publications of this study do not report verifiable data, and 9 other publications co-authored by the principal investigator contain at least one major untrue statement”

- Bezwoda in a document sent to his colleagues:
  - Acknowledged that he committed a serious breach of scientific honesty and integrity by misrepresenting the results of that trial
  - Resigned his position at the university
Other Examples

- A CRA was found guilty of falsifying the data in the study records of 35 patients on the SWOG SELECT trial for prostate cancer prevention
- Drug Company Study of a toxicity protectant
  - The CRAs at 4 participating institutions falsified at least one QOL document that was to be completed by the patient
  - Three CRPs completed the form and signed the patient’s signature
  - One CRP used one form signed by the patient, changed the date with white-out, and submitted as the form for a later date
Audit for Cause

- Any time concerns are raised regarding the conduct of clinical trials at a site there can be an “audit for cause”
  - This involves a more thorough scrutiny, e.g.
    - All subjects entered on all protocols
    - All subjects entered on a specific protocol
    - Drug accountability, etc.
  - Clinical Trials Auditing Branch (CTMB) of the NCI is notified and is present at the Audit
  - Charges may be brought against individuals or institutions and may result in
    - Fines, sanctions (e.g. loss of NIH funding)
    - Loss of employment, Loss of Licensure, etc.
    - Imprisonment depending on nature of fraud
Audit = Quality Assurance

Dr. Curtis Meinert defines QA as:

- Any method or procedure for collecting, processing, or analyzing study data that is aimed at
  - Maintaining or enhancing their reliability and validity
- Includes prevention, detection, and action from the beginning of data collection through publication of the results to assure
  - Unbiased treatment assignment
  - Adequate assessment of eligibility
  - Compliance with protocol treatment
  - Compliance with regulatory requirements
  - Complete collection of data on the primary outcome measures
AUDIT

Could/Should = Educational Process

- Audit team members should share practices that have been successfully implemented at other institutions
  - Clinical practice techniques
  - Data management systems
  - Quality control systems

- Goals of the local staff
  - Use the results of the on-site audit to identify operational areas where improvements could be made
  - Corrective and Preventative Action Plan
    - In response to written findings of the audit
    - To incorporate “best practices” in conduct of clinical trials
Alliance - Audit Program

- Previous audits from Alliance legacy groups (All follow same CTMB Guidelines and CFR)
- Going forward, utilize Alliance Policies and Procedures.
- All institutions entering at least one (1) patient are subject to audit at a **maximum** interval of 36 months
- New institutions are audited within 18 months after entry of the first patient, unless accrual has been robust
Alliance - Audit Program

- Institutions withdrawing are still subject to audit of their entries since the previous audit
- All institutions are subject to audit during any one year
- Re-audits are done when accrual is sufficient to make them worthwhile, generally within 12 months
- Special Audits / Audits for Cause
  - Irregularities in quality control procedures
  - Allegations of scientific misconduct
Date of Audit is arranged ~4-6 months in advance
- Mutually convenient time
- Geographical & other considerations may affect scheduling

Audit team comprised of a CRP/RN, or MD/CRP

Team leader – either an Audit Program Manager or a member of the Audit Committee (AC)
- Ad hoc auditors are invited to participate
- Ad hoc auditors always work with an AC member
Alliance - Audit Program

- NCI representative may also be present
  - More commonly with Re-Audits
  - Audit the work of the auditors
  - Audit the process of the audit

- NCI representative always there if
  - Audit for cause
  - Special Audit
Alliance - Audit Program

Protocol Selection

- Statistical Office selects protocols for review
  - Minimum of three protocols representing studies conducted at the site
  - May include:
    - IND trials – e.g. investigational drug in use
    - Multi-modality studies
    - Designated prevention trials
    - Trials with high accruals
    - CTSU studies
Alliance - Audit Program

Protocol Selection

- A **minimum** of 10% of patients accrued since last audit will be reviewed (10% each from Alliance, CTSU endorsed, CTSU non-endorsed, CTSU FLEX (DCP))
- Most selected from patients accrued since previous audit
  - However, **any** patient case is eligible for selection
- At least one (1) unannounced case will be reviewed if the total accruals warrant selection of unannounced cases
  - May elect to do limited review (e.g. eligibility, consent, etc.) or full review of the unannounced case
  - If limited review, does not count towards the minimum of 10% rule noted above
Alliance - Audit Program

CTSU Patient Accruals

- Patients entered on studies though the CTSU are categorized as:
  - Endorsed by Alliance (fits into scientific agenda of Alliance)
  - Non-endorsed by Alliance
- Depending on number of CTSU cases, one or more individual(s) may be requested from the CTSU to augment the audit team
- CTSU cases will be included in the
  - 24 hour Preliminary Report
  - Final Audit Report along with the Alliance Cases
  - Pieces of the audit reports now go to the lead Group (e.g. ECOG, SWOG, etc) on a case-by-case basis
In summary, why do we do audits?

- To assure all patient protection measures are followed
  - IRB following the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) mandates
  - ICC complete and follows model consent
- To assure all pharmacy procedures are followed
- To help provide assurance the study results are valid
  - To find and correct errors
  - To find missing data, if it exists
- To discourage fraud and find its rare instances
- To educate all involved in clinical trials research regarding protocol adherence and data collection
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